

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

		P. W. TOUR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		3015
09/380,738	12/06/1999	ERIC C. REYNOLDS	040268/0161	3013
7590 07/26/2002 FOLEY & LARDNER			EXAMINER	
3000 K STREE	T NW SUITE 500	LUKTON, DAVID		, DAVID
PO BOX 25696 WASHINGTON, DC 200078696			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	•		1653	2
			DATE MAILED: 07/26/200	2 💢

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/380,738

Applica...(s)

Examiner

**David Lukton** 

Art Unit **1653** 



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on t	he cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for A SHO THE M - Extension mailing of the period o	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no explate of this communication.  In riod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the state of the specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and we reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the apply the received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication.	ent, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the tutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the meiling date of this communication.
earned p	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on May 8, 2002	
		is non-final.
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) XI This action Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte</i>	ent for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
4) [2]	Claim(s) 1-7 9-11, 15-26, and 41-61	is/are pending in the application.
. , , .	Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 4	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
5) 🗀	Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
6) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	by the section and/or election requirement.
8) 💢	Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15-26, and 41-61	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	ation Papers	
9) 🗆		bisered to by the Evaminer
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are a	accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
		windle) he held in appropries, occ or other than
11)□	The proposed drawing correction filed on  If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	Is: a) Lapproved b) Laboration and a
12)		
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have	been received.
	a Contified copies of the priority documents have	been received in Application No.
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received in this National Stage
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
14)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	Langlication has been received.
a	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority arises at a constant
	nment(s)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing New (170 515)	6) Other:
3)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (1 10-14-5) 1 apoi 115-14-5)	

Serial No. 09/380,738 Art Unit 1653

Pursuant to the directives of paper No. 20 (filed 5/8/02), claims 1, 3-7, 9-11, 15, 25, 42, 43, 45-47, 49 have been amended, claims 50-61 added, and claims 14, 30-38 cancelled. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15-26, 41-61 are pending. Applicants' arguments are acknowledged herewith. A response will be forthcoming after applicants have elected an invention in according with the following.

\*

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 (the numbering of groups begins with "IV" to avoid conflict with the previous restriction):

IV. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 15-26, 41-61, drawn to a complex (and methods of use thereof) which is not only formed under alkaline conditions, but is characterized as alkaline.

V. Claims 1-7, 9-11, 17-26, 41-61, drawn to a complex (and methods of use thereof) which may have been exposed to alkaline conditions at one point, but is not necessarily alkaline in the final isolated form.

With the exception of claims 15 and 16, all claims are common to both groups.

Group V encompasses the possibility of a complex which is formed by exposing the

complex to alkaline conditions at some point during the process, but is then acidified. By way of analogy, consider the case of hemoglobin, which has an isoelectric point (IEP) of about 7. Consider the following claims:

- 100. A salt of hemoglobin which is formed at pH 6.
- 101. A composition comprising the salt of claim 100 in combination with a pH 8 buffer.
- 102. A composition obtained by a process comprising
  - (a) combining hemoglobin with a carrier to form a mixture, wherein said carrier contains water,
  - (b) adjusting the pH of said mixture to 6.0;
  - (c) optionally, lyophilizing the mixture of step (b) and
  - (d) isolating the mixture of step (c).

Claim 100 does recite that the salt is formed at pH 6, but as is evident from claim 101, this is not particularly meaningful as such, since upon placing the hemoglobin in pH 8 buffer, the effects of forming the salt at pH 6 are negated. By contrast, in the case of claim 102, the composition must contain acidified hemoglobin. Instant claim 1 is neither a "product by process claim", nor does it characterize the complex as alkaline. Accordingly, claim 1 encompasses both alkaline and acidic complexes. The situation is further complicated because, as is evident form claim 50, a pH of "about" 7 is, in applicants view, alkaline. The term "about 7" could easily encompass a pH of 6.7, which is not alkaline. Complicating

Serial No. 09/380,738 Art Unit 1653

matters further are claims 54 and 55, which unequivocally and specifically permit a very acidic pH. These latter claims clearly encompass the possibility of the following process:

- (a) combining the phosphopeptide with inorganic phosphate at pH 9;
- (b) combining with a carrier;
- (c) reducing the pH to 5; and
- (d) isolating the composition

These latter claims would also encompass the following process:

- (a) combining the phosphopeptide with inorganic phosphate at pH 9,
- (b) reducing the pH to 5;
- (c) combining with a carrier, which is itself at pH 5; and
- (d) isolating the composition.

In the event that applicants elect Group IV, it is suggested that the characterization of the complex as being alkaline be reinstated. The possibility of a product-by-process claim would be considered in the case of claims drawn to a complex, but this would still leave the composition claims open to interpretation, since such claims would encompass the possibility of an acidic carrier being used.

Serial No. 09/380,738 Art Unit 1653

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Lukton. Phone: (703) 308-3213.

An inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1800